FINDING CASES IN LAW REVIEWS
Why Law Review Articles?

Law Reviews contain scholarly articles and are usually published by law schools. Some Reviews focus on a single topic (i.e., environmental law) while others cover a broad range of subjects.

Articles are lengthy and provide large numbers of citations and notes, including references to court cases.
Select Nexis Uni from the Library Databases A-Z list.
Nexis Uni offers both Guided Search and Advanced Search. Guided Search will suffice in most cases.
Select the desired material type – Law Reviews – enter search terms, preferably with phrases inside quotation marks, and choose a date or date range.
Nexis Uni lets us search for keywords near one another. This technique improves the relevance of search results. \( W/P = \) Find my terms in the same paragraph.
Note the case citation in the text above. Also, the “Search Within Results” box can locate specific terms or concepts within an article.
See id. at § 1.14(2), 1-29.

See *City of San Mateo v. Coburn*, 130 Cal. 631, 634 (1900) ("The right of the state to appropriate private property for public use is an element of sovereignty ... "). See also *Lore v. Bd. of Pub. Works*, 277 Md. 355, 358 (1976) ("The power of eminent domain adheres to sovereignty and requires no constitutional authority for its existence.").

See *City of Oakland v. Oakland Raiders*, 646 P.2d 835, 838 (Cal. 1982) ("In contrast to the broad powers of general government ... a municipal corporation has no inherent power of eminent domain and can exercise it only when expressly authorized by law.").

See generally *Boswell v. Prince George's Cnty.*, 330 A.2d 663, 668 (Md. 1975) ("However, 'when property is to be taken for local public purpose the power is usually delegated to the municipal corporation or other governmental subdivision of the state ... such delegation is unquestionably within the power of the legislature.").

See *Kelo v. City of New London*, 545 U.S. 469, 489 (2005) ("Nothing in our opinion precludes any State from placing further restrictions on ... the takings power. Indeed, many States already impose 'public use' requirements that are stricter than the federal baseline. Some of these requirements have been established as a matter of state constitutional law ... ").
What’s Next?

I have my citations...Now what?

Berman v Parker
348 US 26
Citizens United v FEC
558 US 310
Brown v Board of Education of Topeka
Choose Cases and Jurisdiction (federal or state) then enter the parties’ names. You may also enter dates if needed.
Choose Cases and Jurisdiction (federal or state) then enter the case citation.
Either citations or names will retrieve cases.

1. ▲ Berman v. Parker, 348 U.S. 26


**Overview:** There was no constitutional violation by taking of appellant's property because Congress through its police power was authorized to enact project for redevelopment of entire area within District of Columbia, in order to improve public health.

**BERMAN ET AL., EXECUTORS, v. PARKER ET AL.** Berman v. Parker No. 22 Supreme Court of the United States 348 U.S. 26 ...
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